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#### Abstract

Pulsations in RR Lyrae stars and classical Cepheids were thought to be relatively simple since they typically pulsate only in one or two radial modes. This picture changes at a closer look when modulation or additional low-amplitude signals are detected. I will review different multi-periodic groups known among classical pulsators, including stars showing the Blazhko modulation.
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## 1. Introduction

For many years RR Lyrae stars and classical Cepheids were considered very simple pulsators. The majority of them pulsate in one or two radial modes. The only mystery was related to some RR Lyrae stars that show quasiperiodic modulation of pulsations known as the Blazhko effect Blažko (1907). However, the past decade revolutionized our view on these relatively simple pulsators. Thanks to large-scale ground-based surveys and spacebased missions, many low-amplitude signals were detected in RR Lyrae stars and classical Cepheids. New distinct multi-periodic groups emerged in the Petersen diagram, which is a diagram of period ratio vs longer period or its logarithm (see Figures 1 and 2).

Here we review the currently known groups of multi-mode classical pulsators. We also discuss the advances in the long-standing problem of the Blazhko effect.

## 2. Petersen diagram for RR Lyrae stars

Petersen diagram for RR Lyrae stars is presented in Fig. 1

### 2.1. Multi-mode radial pulsations

The simplest multi-periodic pulsations are double-mode pulsations in radial modes. Double-mode pulsations in fundamental mode and first overtone (RRd) were detected for the first time in AQ Leo by Jerzykiewicz \&


Fig. 1. Petersen diagram for multi-mode RR Lyrae stars.

Wenzel (1977). Since then, many more such stars were discovered in various stellar systems. RRd stars are plotted in the Petersen diagram in Fig. 1 with blue circles. They form a characteristic progression from 0.72 (short periods) to 0.75 (long periods).

Related to the RRd stars described above are the anomalous RRd stars (aRRd), which are plotted with navy circles in Fig. 1. They were detected in multiple systems, e.g. in LMC and SMC (Soszyński et al. 2016), in the globular cluster M3 (Jurcsik et al.||2014), and in the Galactic field (Smolec et al. 2015). They differ from classical RRd stars in a few ways. First, they show atypical period ratios and are located above or below the classical RRd progression in the Petersen diagram. In contrast to RRd stars, in aRRd stars, the fundamental mode has a higher amplitude than the first overtone. Moreover, many aRRd stars show the Blazhko modulation, which will be discussed in Sec. 4.

Less common are double-mode RR Lyrae stars pulsating in the fundamental mode and second overtone. They are plotted with red squares in Fig. 1. The second overtone is detected typically based on the space-based data
(Jurcsik et al. 2008; Poretti et al. 2010, Chadid et al. 2010; Benkő et al. 2010, 2014).

Very rare objects are triple-mode RR Lyrae stars. All of them show pulsations in the fundamental, first and second overtones. The period ratio formed by first and second overtones is plotted in Fig. 1 with lime open squares. Only a few such stars are known. They were reported in the Galactic bulge and disk fields by Soszyński et al. (2019). One more such star was reported by Jurcsik et al. (2015) in the M3 globular cluster.

An interesting group was reported by Smolec et al. (2016) and is plotted in Fig. 1 with magenta diamonds. In these stars, the dominant mode of pulsation is the fundamental mode (RRab). The additional signal has a lower amplitude and shorter period, which puts these stars in the long-period extension of the classical RRd sequence. Based on the light curve shapes and theoretical models Smolec et al. (2016) concluded that the double-mode fundamental and first-overtone pulsations are the most probable explanation of periodicities observed in these stars.

## 2.2. $R R_{0.61}$

The most common group of multi-periodic stars where the additional signal cannot correspond to another radial mode is plotted with green points in Fig. 1 These are so-called $\mathrm{RR}_{0.61}$ stars. The dominant mode of pulsation is the first-overtone, so these are either firstovertone (RRc) stars or RRd stars. The additional signal, $f_{0.61}$, has a low amplitude, a period shorter than the first-overtone period, and forms a period ratio of around 0.61 with the first overtone. This period ratio instantly excludes the possibility that the origin of the signal is another radial mode (see e.g. fig. 4 in Netzel et al. 2015b).

The first identified $\mathrm{RR}_{0.61}$ star was an AQ Leo (Gruberbauer et al. 2007). Currently, more than one thousand such stars are known. They were detected in various systems, both from space and ground-based observations (see e.g. Moskalik et al. 2015, Jurcsik et al. 2015; Smolec et al. 2017; Netzel \& Smolec 2019; Molnár et al. 2022, and references therein). At a closer look, the $\mathrm{RR}_{0.61}$ stars form three sequences in the Petersen diagram at the period ratio of around $0.61,0.62$, and 0.63 .

A common feature of the additional signals in $\mathrm{RR}_{0.61}$ stars is the detection of signals at $0.5 f_{0.61}$ and $1.5 f_{0.61}$. We note, that the additional signals, as well as signals $0.5 f_{0.61}$ and $1.5 f_{0.61}$, are typically non-stationary. This results in wide and complex structures in frequency spectra often offset from the exact halfinteger frequency (see e.g. Netzel \& Smolec 2019). An interesting group in the Petersen diagram are stars marked with black crosses in Fig. 1 located at the period ratio of around 0.80 . In these stars, in contrast to stars plotted with lime open squares in Fig. 1] the additional signal has a longer period than the first overtone. A possible scenario explains these signals as subharmonics at $0.5 f_{0.61}$ in $\mathrm{RR}_{0.61}$ stars (Netzel \& Smolec 2019; Benkő et al. 2021).

The explanation of the origin of additional signals in $\mathrm{RR}_{0.61}$ stars was proposed by Dziembowski (2016). He suggested that the signal that forms period ratio of around 0.61 is caused by harmonics of non-radial modes of degrees $\ell=8$ or 9 for the top and the bottom
sequence. The middle sequence corresponds to linear combination of the two other signals.

This form of pulsation seems to be common for first-overtone RR Lyrae stars. The incidence rate of $\mathrm{RR}_{0.61}$ stars increases with the increasing quality of photometry. In the ground-based studies, the incidence rate of detection typically is below $60 \%$ (the only exception is the study of the globular cluster NGC 6362, where the derived incidence rate is $63 \%$ Smolec et al. (2017)). The incidence rate based on the space-based photometry typically exceeds $60 \%$ (Molnár et al. 2015, Moskalik et al. 2015, Molnár et al. 2022; Forró et al. 2022). The record holder is four RRc stars observed in the original Kepler field and studied by Moskalik et al. (2015). In all of them, the additional signal was detected giving the incidence rate of $100 \%$. Interestingly, a recent study of the background stars in the Kepler field, which resulted in the detection of four new RRc stars and two RRd stars did not change the incidence rate of $\mathrm{RR}_{0.61}$ stars (Forró et al. 2022). On the other hand, based on the color-magnitude diagrams, the conclusion is that $\mathrm{RR}_{0.61}$ stars are not detected in its bluest part (Jurcsik et al. 2015; Smolec et al. 2017; Molnár et al. 2022). Recently Smolec et al. (2023) calculated a grid of theoretical models for pulsations in non-radial modes of degrees $\ell=8,9$ in RR Lyrae stars and showed that indeed those modes are linearly stable close to the blue edge of the instability strip.

## 2.3. $R R_{0.68}$

Another puzzling group, where the additional signal cannot correspond to another radial mode are $\mathrm{RR}_{0.68}$ stars (orange crosses in Fig. 1). Again, the dominant mode of pulsation is the first overtone. The additional lowamplitude signal has a period longer than the first-overtone period and also longer than the (undetected) fundamental mode. It forms a period ratio of around 0.68 with the first overtone. $\mathrm{RR}_{0.68}$ stars were detected both from the ground-based photometry (Netzel et al.|2015a; Netzel \& Smolec 2019) and from the spacebased data (Moskalik et al. 2015; Molnár et al. 2022).


Fig. 2. Petersen diagram for multi-mode classical Cepheids.

The only explanation of the nature of signals in $\mathrm{RR}_{0.68}$ stars was proposed by Dziembowski (2016). The observed period ratio of around 0.68 was reproduced assuming that the $\mathrm{RR}_{0.68}$ stars are not RR Lyrae stars but stripped giants of masses around 0.2-0.25 $\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ similar to Binary Evolution Pulsator (BEP, Pietrzyński et al. 2012). In this case, the period ratio would correspond to double-mode pulsations in fundamental mode and first overtone. However, there are stars that show both signals that correspond simultaneously to $\mathrm{RR}_{0.68}$ and RR $_{0.61}$ stars (Moskalik et al. 2015; Netzel \& Smolec 2019). Hence, a consistend and coherent explanation for both groups has still to be found.

### 2.4. Peculiar RRd stars (pRRd)

Another puzzling group of RR Lyrae stars is plotted with cyan triangles in Fig. 1. The dominant mode in the majority of these stars is the fundamental mode, but in a few stars, the dominant mode is the first overtone. The additional signal has a shorter period and forms a period ratio with the dominant frequency around 0.70 , which puts this group of stars in the short-period extension on the classical RRd sequence. It was first reported by Prudil
et al. (2017). More such stars were detected by Soszyński et al. (2019) and Nemec \& Moskalik (2021). The Fourier coefficients, describing the light curve shape, for these stars are in between values typical for RRc and RRab stars. Using theoretical models Prudil et al. (2017) showed that this group of stars cannot be reproduced with double-mode pulsations in fundamental mode and first overtone neither in RR Lyrae stars nor low-mass stripped red giants similar to BEPs. Nemec \& Moskalik (2021) named these stars peculiar RRd stars (pRRd) and showed that their properties are indeed different than for classical or anomalous RRd stars. Presently, we do not know the explanation for the origin of signals in pRRd stars.

## 3. Petersen diagram for classical Cepheids

Petersen diagram for multi-mode classical Cepheids is presented in Fig. 2.

### 3.1. Multi-mode radial pulsations

Double-mode pulsations in classical Cepheids are known for more than fifty years (Oosterhoff 1957ab) and are relatively common. Currently, we know stars that show
double-mode pulsations in fundamental mode and first overtone, first and second overtones, and first and third overtones. These are plotted in Fig. 2 with blue circles, lime squares, and magenta triangles, respectively. Soszyński et al. (2015a) reported a double-mode Cepheid pulsating in second and third overtones (cyan stars in Fig. 27. Triple-mode Cepheids pulsating in radial modes are also known. They either pulsate in fundamental mode, first and second overtones, or in first, second, and third overtones (Soszyński et al. 2015a).

### 3.2. 0.61 stars

The analogous group to $R R_{0.61}$ stars is known among classical Cepheids (Moskalik \& Olech 2008; Moskalik \& Kołaczkowski|2009a; Soszyński et al. 2008). They are plotted with green points in Fig. 22 Again, stars in this group have the first overtone as a dominant mode of pulsation, and the shorter-period signal forms the characteristic period ratio of around 0.61 with the first overtone. Currently, a few hundred such stars are known (Soszyński] et al. 2010, 2015b; Süveges \& Anderson|2018; Smolec \& Śniegowska 2016, Rathour et al. 2021) in both Magellanic Clouds and Galactic disk. These stars also form characteristic three sequences in the Petersen diagram. According to the explanation by Dziembowski (2016), the observed sequences can be explained with harmonics of non-radial modes of degrees $\ell=7$, 8 , and 9 for the top, middle, and the bottom sequence, respectively.

A detailed study by Smolec \& Śniegowska (2016) resulted in a discovery of power excess located at a half-integer frequency (around $0.5 f_{0.61}$ ). Interestingly, stars showing this power excess are typically members of the middle sequence in the Petersen diagram. This is consistent with the predictions of model by Dziembowski (2016). Namely, non-radial modes of $\ell=8$ are least affected by the cancellation effect. For details see a discussion in Smolec \& Śniegowska (2016) and a similar discussion for RR Lyrae stars in Netzel \& Smolec (2019).

A group of stars plotted in Fig. 2 with black pluses was reported only recently by Rathour et al. (2021). This group is analogous to RR Lyrae stars plotted in Fig. 1 with black pluses.

Interestingly, the $f_{0.61}$ signal was recently detected in an anomalous Cepheid XZ Cet during the analysis of the TESS data by Plachy et al. (2021). This is the first and only such discovery in anomalous Cepheids so far.

### 3.3. 0.68 stars

Süveges \& Anderson (2018) performed an analysis of additional periodicities in classical Cepheids in Magellanic Clouds. They reported the discovery of stars that are counterparts of $\mathrm{RR}_{0.68}$ group known among the RR Lyrae stars. These stars are plotted in Fig. 2 with orange crosses. The very first member of this group is CoRoT 0223989566, which is a double mode $10 / 20$ Cepheid with an additional long-period signal that forms a period ratio of 0.682 with the first overtone (Poretti] et al. 2014). However, with only one star, no new multi-periodic group was established.

## 4. Blazhko effect

The Blazhko effect is a quasi-periodic modulation of phase and/or amplitude of pulsation. Since its discovery by Blažko (1907) more than a century ago its physical origin is still unknown. However, our understanding of the observed properties of Blazhko stars grows significantly.

If the amplitude of modulation is big enough, the modulation is visible in light curve (see e.g. fig. 4 Benkő et al. 2014). In frequency spectra, it manifests as equidistant sidepeaks centered at the dominant frequency and its harmonics.

The Blazhko effect is observed in RRab and RRc stars. It is more common among RRab stars. The incidence rate of Blazhko RRab stars among RRab stars is typically around $50 \%$. Interestingly, this value is reached by studies that incorporate ground-based observations as well as space-based photometry (see e.g. Jurcsik et al. 2014, Prudil \& Skarka 2017, Molnár et al. 2022). On the other hand,

Kovacs (2018) analyzed the Kepler photometry from the K2 mission and reported an incidence rate of $91 \%$ suggesting the underlying incidence rate of $100 \%$. In particular, Kovacs (2018) reported significantly more stars with low-amplitude modulation. Benkő et al. (2019) studied non-Blazhko RRab stars in the original Kepler field and detected subtle cycle-to-cycle variations of light curve (see their fig. 1). Such variations can result in residual signals close to the fundamental frequency. So, the incidence rate of Blazhko RRab stars remains a debated topic. The incidence rate of RRc stars showing the Blazhko modulation is lower. Netzel et al. (2018) reported only $5.6 \%$ based on the analysis of the ground-based data. Based on the space-based TESS data Molnár et al. (2022) reported an incidence rate of $13 \%$, which is still significantly smaller than the value inferred for RRab stars.

The modulation is also observed in doublemode RR Lyrae stars, namely in aRRd (Jurcsik et al. 2014, Soszyński et al. 2014, Smolec et al. 2015; Plachy et al. 2017; Soszyński et al. 2016). These stars have also peculiar properties of pulsations as discussed in Sec. 2, Interestingly, sometimes both modes are modulated, but sometimes only the fundamental mode or first overtone can be modulated. As suggested by Soszyński et al. (2016), the resonance $2 f_{10} \approx f_{\mathrm{F}}+f_{20}$ might be involved in observed pulsation properties of aRRd stars.

The Blazhko modulation was detected in classical Cepheids as well. Smolec (2017) studied the most numerous sample of fundamental-mode Cepheids in the Magellanic Clouds and detected modulation in over 50 Cepheids. Even though the overall incidence rate is small, it is high for fundamental periods around 10 to 15 d . Different incidence rates for Small and Large Magellanic Cloud suggest that the modulation depends on the metallicity. Interestingly, Smolec (2017) reported a characteristic modulation period, which seems to be around ten times the fundamental-mode period. Soszyński et al. (2015a) reported a modulation visible in the light curve of a first-overtone classical Cepheid. The modulation was also detected in a second-overtone

Cepheid, V473 (Molnár \& Szabados 2014. Molnár et al.|2017).

Moskalik \& Kołaczkowski (2009b) reported a modulation in double-mode classical Cepheids pulsating in first and second overtones. It was detected in $19 \%$ of the studied sample. Interestingly, both modes are modulated with the same long period. Amplitude modulation of both modes is anticorrelated.

Only recently Rathour et al. (2021) reported modulation in double-mode fundamental and first-overtone Cepheids.

### 4.1. Explaining the Blazhko effect

Any model attempting to explain the Blazhko effect should take into account the observed properties of the Blazhko effect. That includes the incidence rates, which are different for different types of stars as discussed in the previous section. Apart from the incidence rates, Blazhko stars show a variety of characteristics. Modulation periods vary from very short, from below 5d (Skarka et al. 2020; Netzel et al. 2018), to very long, of the order of $10^{4} \mathrm{~d}$ (Jurcsik \& Smitola 2016, Netzel et al. 2018; Skarka et al. 2020). However, the upper limit, if exists, is uncertain, because it depends on the length of data.

Complex modulation patterns are relatively often observed. That includes multiple modulation periods or multiplet structures beyond simple dublets and triplets (see e.g. Chadid et al. 2010, Guggenberger et al. 2012; Netzel et al. 2018). The sidepeaks on the lower and higher frequency side can show asymmetry in amplitudes (see theoretical discussion in Benkő et al. 2011). In extreme cases, sidepeak on one side can be hidden in the noise. In this scenario only one sidepeak is detected, instead of multiplets centered at pulsation frequency and its harmonics (see a discussion in Netzel et al. 2018). With the excellent data quality of space-based photometry, it is possible to detect the low-amplitude sidepeaks as well. For instance, Molnár et al. (2022) from their analysis of TESS photometry, reported Blazhko stars that show extreme amplitude asymmetry.

Long-term monitoring of Blazhko stars also showed that the Blazhko effect itself
can change over time. This irregularity can manifest as cycle-to-cycle variations (e.g. Guggenberger et al. 2011) or as slow long-term trends (Le Borgne et al. (2014).

Many models have been proposed to explain the Blazhko effect, but none of them yet fully succeeded to do that (for details see Smolec 2016, and references therein). That includes magnetic oblique rotator/pulsator model (Shibahashi 2000), non-radial resonant model (Nowakowski \& Dziembowski 2001), magnetic dynamo-driven convection (Stothers 2006), atmospheric shocks' dynamics (Gillet 2013) and non-resonant radial non-radial mode interactions (Cox|2013).

The continuous photometric observations by the Kepler satellite led to the discovery of the period-doubling phenomenon in Blazhko RRab star (Kolenberg et al. 2010). In the light curve, it shows as alternating minima and maxima. In the frequency spectrum, it causes the presence of subharmonics, i.e. signals at halfinteger frequencies. Interestingly, the perioddoubling was never detected in Blazhko RRc stars. Szabó et al. (2010) suggested, that the period doubling is due to 9:2 resonance between the fundamental mode and the 9 th radial overtone. This motivated new efforts in modeling. Buchler \& Kolláth (2011) proposed that the $9: 2$ resonance causing the period doubling might also be responsible for the Blazhko effect. Recently, Kolláth (2021) was able to produce amplitude modulation and period doubling in a model of an RR Lyrae star, though yet not able to explain all the observed aspects of the Blazhko effect.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank the organizers of the RRL conference for organizing a wonderful meeting and giving me the opportunity to present these exciting updates to the community. H.N. has been supported by the Lendület Program of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, project No. LP2018-7/2022 and by the ÚNKP-22-4 "Tudománnyal Fel!" New National Excellence Program of the Ministry of Innovation and Technology from the source of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund. I am grateful to R. Szabo for reading the manuscript.

## References

Benkő, J. M., Jurcsik, J., \& Derekas, A. 2019, MNRAS, 485, 5897
Benkő, J. M., Kolenberg, K., Szabó, R., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 409, 1585
Benkő, J. M., Plachy, E., Szabó, R., Molnár, L., \& Kolláth, Z. 2014, ApJS, 213, 31

Benkő, J. M., Sódor, Á., \& Pál, A. 2021, MNRAS, 500, 2554
Benkő, J. M., Szabó, R., \& Paparó, M. 2011, MNRAS, 417, 974
Blažko, S. 1907, Astronomische Nachrichten, 175, 325
Buchler, J. R. \& Kolláth, Z. 2011, ApJ, 731, 24
Chadid, M., Benkő, J. M., Szabó, R., et al. 2010, A\&A, 510, A39
Cox, A. N. 2013, in Astrophysics and Space Science Proceedings, Vol. 31, Stellar Pulsations: Impact of New Instrumentation and New Insights, ed. J. C. Suárez, R. Garrido, L. A. Balona, \& J. ChristensenDalsgaard, 77
Dziembowski, W. A. 2016, Commmunications of the Konkoly Observatory Hungary, 105, 23
Forró, A., Szabó, R., Bódi, A., \& Császár, K. 2022, ApJS, 260, 20
Gillet, D. 2013, A\&A, 554, A46
Gruberbauer, M., Kolenberg, K., Rowe, J. F., et al. 2007, MNRAS, 379, 1498
Guggenberger, E., Kolenberg, K., Chapellier, E., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 415, 1577

Guggenberger, E., Kolenberg, K., Nemec, J. M., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 424, 649

Jerzykiewicz, M. \& Wenzel, W. 1977, ActaA, 27, 35
Jurcsik, J. \& Smitola, P. 2016, Commmunications of the Konkoly Observatory Hungary, 105, 167
Jurcsik, J., Smitola, P., Hajdu, G., \& Nuspl, J. 2014, ApJ, 797, L3
Jurcsik, J., Smitola, P., Hajdu, G., et al. 2015, ApJS, 219, 25
Jurcsik, J., Sódor, Á., Hurta, Z., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 164
Kolenberg, K., Szabó, R., Kurtz, D. W., et al. 2010, ApJ, 713, L198
Kolláth, Z. 2021, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 529, RR

Lyrae/Cepheid 2019: Frontiers of Classical Pulsators, ed. K. Kinemuchi, C. Lovekin, H. Neilson, \& K. Vivas, 117

Kovacs, G. 2018, A\&A, 614, L4
Le Borgne, J. F., Poretti, E., Klotz, A., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 441, 1435
Molnár, L., Bódi, A., Pál, A., et al. 2022, ApJS, 258, 8
Molnár, L., Derekas, A., Szabó, R., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 466, 4009
Molnár, L. \& Szabados, L. 2014, MNRAS, 442, 3222
Molnár, L., Szabó, R., Moskalik, P. A., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 452, 4283
Moskalik, P. \& Kołaczkowski, Z. 2009a, MNRAS, 394, 1649
Moskalik, P. \& Kołaczkowski, Z. 2009b, MNRAS, 394, 1649
Moskalik, P. \& Olech, A. 2008, Communications in Asteroseismology, 157, 345
Moskalik, P., Smolec, R., Kolenberg, K., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 447, 2348
Nemec, J. M. \& Moskalik, P. 2021, MNRAS, 507, 781
Netzel, H. \& Smolec, R. 2019, MNRAS, 487, 5584
Netzel, H., Smolec, R., \& Dziembowski, W. 2015a, MNRAS, 451, L25
Netzel, H., Smolec, R., \& Moskalik, P. 2015b, MNRAS, 447, 1173
Netzel, H., Smolec, R., Soszyński, I., \& Udalski, A. 2018, MNRAS, 480, 1229
Nowakowski, R. M. \& Dziembowski, W. A. 2001, ActaA, 51, 5
Oosterhoff, P. T. 1957a, Bull. Astron. Inst. Netherlands, 13, 320
Oosterhoff, P. T. 1957b, Bull. Astron. Inst. Netherlands, 13, 317
Pietrzyński, G., Thompson, I. B., Gieren, W., et al. 2012, Nature, 484, 75
Plachy, E., Klagyivik, P., Molnár, L., \& Szabó, R. 2017, in European Physical Journal Web of Conferences, Vol. 160, European Physical Journal Web of Conferences, 04010
Plachy, E., Pál, A., Bódi, A., et al. 2021, ApJS, 253, 11
Poretti, E., Baglin, A., \& Weiss, W. W. 2014, ApJ, 795, L36

Poretti, E., Paparó, M., Deleuil, M., et al. 2010, A\&A, 520, A108
Prudil, Z. \& Skarka, M. 2017, MNRAS, 466, 2602
Prudil, Z., Smolec, R., Skarka, M., \& Netzel, H. 2017, MNRAS, 465, 4074

Rathour, R. S., Smolec, R., \& Netzel, H. 2021, MNRAS, 505, 5412
Shibahashi, H. 2000, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 203, IAU Colloq. 176: The Impact of LargeScale Surveys on Pulsating Star Research, ed. L. Szabados \& D. Kurtz, 299-306
Skarka, M., Prudil, Z., \& Jurcsik, J. 2020, MNRAS, 494, 1237
Smolec, R. 2016, in 37th Meeting of the Polish Astronomical Society, ed. A. Różańska \& M. Bejger, Vol. 3, 22-25

Smolec, R. 2017, MNRAS, 468, 4299
Smolec, R., Moskalik, P., Kałużny, J., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 467, 2349
Smolec, R., Prudil, Z., Skarka, M., \& Bakowska, K. 2016, MNRAS, 461, 2934
Smolec, R. \& Śniegowska, M. 2016, MNRAS, 458, 3561
Smolec, R., Soszyński, I., Udalski, A., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 447, 3756
Smolec, R., Ziółkowska, O., Ochalik, M., \& Śniegowska, M. 2023, MNRAS, 519, 4010
Soszyński, I., Poleski, R., Udalski, A., et al. 2008, ActaA, 58, 163
Soszyński, I., Poleski, R., Udalski, A., et al. 2010, ActaA, 60, 17
Soszyński, I., Udalski, A., Szymański, M. K., et al. 2014, ActaA, 64, 177
Soszyński, I., Udalski, A., Szymański, M. K., et al. 2015a, ActaA, 65, 329
Soszyński, I., Udalski, A., Szymański, M. K., et al. 2015b, ActaA, 65, 297
Soszyński, I., Udalski, A., Szymański, M. K., et al. 2016, ActaA, 66, 131
Soszyński, I., Udalski, A., Wrona, M., et al. 2019, ActaA, 69, 321
Stothers, R. B. 2006, ApJ, 652, 643
Süveges, M. \& Anderson, R. I. 2018, MNRAS, 478, 1425
Szabó, R., Kolláth, Z., Molnár, L., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 409, 1244

